

AUC Academic Policies Committee

Meeting Minutes

April 13, 2021

PRESENT:

Mary Beth McKenzie, Pamela McCafferty, John Crawley, Walter Jeffko, Amy Kendrick, Mathangi Krishnamurthy, Laurie Link, Monica Maldari, Irene Martyniuk, Ozge Ozay, Connie Strittmatter, Jiang Yu, Bill Cummings, Karina Bautista, Reid Parsons, Sam Poole

ABSENT:

Sam Beauchamp, Nermin Bayazit, Rachele Dermer, Abdel Mustafa (sab.), Britton Snyder,

GUESTS: Alberto Cardelle, Aruna Krishnamurthy, Michael Nosek, Jason Smith, Heather Thomas, Evelyn Dina, Rala Diakite

Meeting was convened at 3:33 p.m.

December 2, 2020 Meeting Minutes:

Motion to Consider: Jiang Yu

Second: Reid Parsons

Discussion: None

Approved 16-0-0

AUC #81:

Motion to Consider: Reid Parsons

Second: Jiang Yu

Dr. Cardelle spoke to the proposal. SAC approved the proposal but requested that students be able to readmit to spring 2022, in addition to fall 2021.

Discussion: Failed classes covered by this policy will not appear on the transcript but will tracked in Banner for financial aid purposes. Friendly amendment to include spring 2022 as a readmission term under this policy. Are we setting students up for more loan debt? Students will be encouraged to contact the academic coaches before readmitting.

Approved as amended 16-0-0

AUC #136:

Motion to Consider: Jiang Yu

Second: Mathangi Krishnamurthy

Dr. Cardelle spoke to the proposal.

Discussion: Will this course go through governance and if it is zero credits, is it really a course? The plan was to take the course through governance but the details are still being worked out. The course will not be tied to a particular department but rather run out of the Student Success unit of Academic Affairs. In the lower credit groups, do transfer credits need to be general education and if so, how we will determine which courses qualify as such? We have a comprehensive database of transfer courses already approved as general education.

Approved 16-0-0

AUC #123:

Motion to Consider: Sam Poole

Second: Reid Parsons

Jason Smith spoke to the proposal.

Discussion: Motion to table since the proposal is not in alignment with governance. The proposal appears to be proposing a new governing body (i.e. a subcommittee of APC.) Such a subcommittee should come from APC itself. The proposal appears to be incorrectly calling APC a subcommittee. The proposal is not recommending a new subcommittee but rather it is referring to APC itself. The intent of the proposal is to be proactive with policy review as we do not currently have a system/policy for reviewing existing policies on a regular basis. There is concern with adding a charge to a standing committee. While a well-intentioned policy, there is need for clarity on how this will work. Motion to table so that the union and the sponsors can provide clarification.

Motion to table approved 14-0-0

Motion to Adjourn: Bill Cummings

Approved 14-0-0

Meeting adjourned at 4:36 p.m.